

## **REMARKS**

The above Amendments and these Remarks are in reply to the final Office Action mailed June 9, 2009. Claims 43 and 45-78 were pending in the Application prior to the outstanding Office Action. Reconsideration of the rejections is respectfully requested.

**I.      Claim Rejections under Double Patenting**

In the Office Action mailed June 9, 2009, Claims 43 and 45-78 were provisionally rejected under the doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claims 1-30 of copending Application No. 11/686,257.

Accordingly, filed together with this Reply is an appropriate Terminal Disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321. Applicant respectfully submits that the filing of a Terminal Disclaimer renders moot the rejection of the claims under the doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting, and reconsideration thereof is respectfully requested.

**II.     Conclusion**

In light of the above, it is respectfully submitted that all of the claims now pending in the subject patent application should be allowable, and a Notice of Allowance is requested. The Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned if he can assist in any way in expediting issuance of a patent.

The Applicant respectfully reserves the right to prosecute any originally presented or canceled claims in a continuing or future application.

Application No.: 10/780,299  
Reply to Office Action dated: June 9, 2009  
Reply dated: August 3, 2009

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any underpayment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 06-1325 for any matter in connection with this response, including any fee for extension of time, which may be required.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: August 3, 2009 By: \_\_\_\_\_ /Kuiran (Ted) Liu/  
Kuiran (Ted) Liu  
Reg. No. 60,039

Customer No. 23910  
FLIESLER MEYER LLP  
650 California Street, Fourteenth Floor  
San Francisco, California 94108  
Telephone: (415) 362-3800